

Rotational Control of a Dirhodium-Centered Supramolecular Four-Gear System by Ligand Exchange

Kazuma Sanada, Hitoshi Ube, and Mitsuhiko Shionoya*

Department of Chemistry, Graduate School of Science, The University of Tokyo, 7-3-1, Hongo, Bunkyo-ku, Tokyo 113-003, Japan

Supporting Information

ABSTRACT: Self-assembled molecular machines have great potential to enable noncovalent regulation of a coupled motion of the building blocks. Herein we report the synthesis and the rotational control of a lantern-type dirhodium complex with circularly arranged four 2,3,6,7,14,15-hexamethyltriptycene carboxylates as gears and two axial ligands as the rate control elements. The rotating rates in solution were markedly affected by the coordination ability and the bulkiness of axial ligands. Notably, the rate changes were closely correlated with the changes in the electronic states of the dirhodium center. Such ligand exchange-based control of rotational motions with color changes would advance stimulus-responsive metallo-molecular multirotors.

B iological molecular machines, such as ATP synthase and motor proteins, are fascinating molecular systems in which the rate and direction of the motions are highly controlled in a synergistic manner to integrate functions of motor, actuator, converter, and transmitter.¹ Inspired by their sophisticated structure and mechanism, a variety of excellent examples of synthetic molecular machines have been reported as miniature versions of macroscopic devices from 1980s.^{2,3} So far, several types of functional molecular machines such as unidirectional motion,⁴ on/off switching by external stimuli,⁵ transmission of energy through coupled motions,⁶ and others are known. In synthetic molecular machines, self-assembled molecular machines have great potential to enable noncovalent regulation of a coupled motion of the building blocks.⁷

Since Iwamura⁸ and Mislow⁹ independently reported the first molecular gearing systems, triptycene has been often used as a three-bladed gear, whereas pentiptycene,¹⁰ porphyrin,¹¹ and tetraphenylcyclobutadiene¹² have been used as four-bladed gears. Most of them are two gear-meshed molecules, and only a limited number of examples have been reported for linearly meshed three-gear systems.^{11,13–16} Gear meshing in a two- or three-gear system can be controlled by fluoride ion¹⁷ or by acid/base,^{11,16} and, to the best of our knowledge, there is no example for the rate control of multiple gearing systems. Moreover, visualization of chemical phenomena can provide new insight into molecular dynamics and chemical reactions.¹⁸ Although methods with optical microscopy enable us to obtain some images of molecular motions,¹⁹ the connection with a submicrometer-sized probe that is several hundreds times as large as the molecular machine possibly varies the original kinetics and dynamics.²⁰ Alternatively, the correlation between



Figure 1. (a) Synthesis and chemical structures of dirhodium-centered circular four-gear complexes $1 \cdot L_2$. (b) Schematic representation of rotational control of the molecular motions by the axial ligand exchange. (c) Axial ligands used in this study.

motions and color changes of molecular machines is useful as a noninvasive estimation method as well as light-sensitive molecules.

Herein we report the synthesis and rotational control of a lantern-type dirhodium complex with circularly arranged four 2,3,6,7,14,15-hexamethyltriptycene carboxylates as meshed gears and two axial ligands as the rate control elements (Figure 1). The rotational rates in solution were markedly affected by the coordination ability and the bulkiness of axial ligands, diethyl ether, and pyridine derivatives (Figure 1b). Moreover, UV–vis absorption of solutions containing a different axial ligand was significantly affected by the type of the axial ligands. Although a few examples of lantern-type complexes that look like molecular gears have been reported, $^{21-23}$ their dynamic behaviors have not been investigated.

Tetrakis(2,3,6,7,14,15-hexamethyltriptycene carboxylato)dirhodium \cdot (ether)₂ complex, $1 \cdot$ (ether)₂, was prepared by refluxing a mixture of 2,3,6,7,14,15-hexamethyltriptycene carboxylic acid (2), RhCl₃·3H₂O, and NaHCO₃ in ethanol (Figure 1a). After purification by column chromatography and recrystallization from CH₂Cl₂/diethyl ether, a diethyl ether adduct, $1 \cdot$ (ether)₂, was obtained as green crystals in 48% yield. The two axial diethyl ether ligands could be replaced by several

Received: December 28, 2015 Published: February 24, 2016

types of pyridines to form $1 \cdot L_2$ [L = py (pyridine), mepy (2methylpyridine), etpy (2-ethylpyridine), dmap (*N*,*N*-dimethyl-4-aminopyridine), min (methyl isonicotinate)] by adding 2 equiv of each pyridine derivative in CH₂Cl₂ (see the Supporting Information).

Crystal structures of all the six complexes were determined by single-crystal X-ray analyses. As shown in the structure of $1 \cdot (\text{mepy})_2$ (Figure 2), the dirhodium core of the lantern-type



Figure 2. ORTEP drawing with 50% probability (side view) and space-filling model (top view) for $1 \cdot (mepy)_2$. Diagonal triptycenes are displayed in the same color. Hydrogen atoms are omitted for clarity in the ORTEP drawing. Methyl groups of the mepy ligands are highlighted in pink in the space-filling model.

Table 1. Structural Parameters

| L | Rh–Rh (Å) | Rh–L (Å) | Rh–Rh–L (°) |
|-------|-----------|----------|-------------|
| ру | 2.40 | 2.25 | 3.50 |
| mepy | 2.41 | 2.28 | 13.6 |
| etpy | 2.41 | 2.30 | 15.0 |
| damp | 2.41 | 2.22 | 16.2 |
| min | 2.40 | 2.23 | 6.30 |
| ether | 2.37 | 2.31 | 2.67 |
| | | | |

complex has circularly arranged four carboxylate gears meshing with each other. The two axial ligands bind to the dirhodium center along the Rh–Rh bond axis.

The structural parameters around the axial ligands are summarized in Table 1. The Rh–Rh distances for pyridine ligands were ~2.40 Å, and the Rh–L distances were roughly in the range from 2.20 to 2.30 Å regardless of the type of coordination atoms and substituents of the pyridine ligands. On the other hand, the bending angles of the axial pyridine ligands from the Rh–Rh bond axis (Rh–Rh–L) took on a wide range of values. Notably, the steric effects of the substituents (H-, CH₃-, and C₂H₅-) at the 2-positions of the pyridine ligands were significant as shown in the increasing values, 3.50°, 13.6°, and 15.0° for $1 \cdot (py)_2$, $1 \cdot (mepy)_2$, and $1 \cdot (etpy)_2$, respectively (Table 1) (see the Supporting Information).

In NMR spectra of $1 \cdot L_2$ in CDCl₃ at 300 K, the signals of methyl groups at the 2,7,14-positions of triptycenes of each complex were shifted upfield compared with those of carboxylic acid **2**. This result strongly indicates that all the four triptycenes in the circularly arranged structure around the central dirhodium axis mesh with each other in solution.

The temperature effects on the motional behaviors of the meshed triptycene parts were then examined by variable-temperature NMR spectroscopy. For instance, in the NMR spectrum of 2-methylpyridine adduct $1 \cdot (\text{mepy})_{2}$, all the signals for the blades of the triptycene parts became broadened and then split at 250 K. This result suggests that a zigzag

conformation of the triptycene parts is stable in the light of the fact that the signals of the blade were split in an upfield (orange)-to-downfield (blue) ratio of 2:1 (Figure 3). For



Figure 3. Dynamic ¹H NMR line-shape simulations (Sim) to determine the rate constants (k) of a 60° rotation process for 1· (mepy)₂ using the iNMR software (ver. 5.3.3).

reference, another possible tongue-in groove conformation would show an upfield-to-downfield ratio of 1:2 due to the shielding effect of two benzene rings on a benzene ring of the neighboring triptycene. Similar splitting patterns were also observed in the spectra of a 2-ethylpyridine adduct $1 \cdot (\text{etpy})_2$ and $1 \cdot (\text{ether})_2$. In contrast, the spectra of a pyridine adduct $1 \cdot (\text{py})_2$, an *N*,*N*-dimethyl-4-aminopyridine adduct $1 \cdot (\text{dmap})_2$, and a methyl isonicotinate adduct $1 \cdot (\text{min})_2$ showed no splitting behaviors even at 220 K (see the Supporting Information).

The rotational rates of these complexes at a given temperature were determined by dynamic NMR experiments. The activation energy parameters were determined from the temperature dependence of the changes in the signals for $1 \cdot (\text{mepy})_2$, $1 \cdot (\text{etpy})_2$, and $1 \cdot (\text{ether})_2$ (Table 2). The rotational

Table 2. Absorption Maximum and Activation Energy Parameters of $1 \cdot L_2^{a}$

| L | λ_{\max} (nm) | ΔH^{\ddagger} (kcal mol ⁻¹) | k @260 K (s ⁻¹) | | | | |
|------------------------------------|-----------------------|---|-----------------------------|--|--|--|--|
| ру | 527 | n.a. | n.a. | | | | |
| mepy | 554 | 14.5 ± 1.2 | 5.5×10^{2} | | | | |
| etpy | 566 | 18.0 ± 0.5 | 15 | | | | |
| damp | 534 | n.a. | n.a. | | | | |
| min | 520 | n.a. | n.a. | | | | |
| ether | 608 | 11.4 ± 0.2 | 1.0×10^{3} | | | | |
| ^a n.a. = not available. | | | | | | | |

rate (k) of each complex was determined for a 60° flipping between orange and blue blades in a zigzag conformation. The rotational rates and activation parameters for $1 \cdot (py)_2$, $1 \cdot (dmap)_2$, and $1 \cdot (min)_2$ could not be determined because no splitting took place at low temperatures due to their faster motion compared with the NMR time scale. As for these three complexes, the activation energy barrier (ΔG^{\ddagger}) can be roughly estimated lower than 12 kcal mol⁻¹ from the chemical shift gap $\Delta \nu > 0.1$ ppm and the coalescence temperature $T_{\rm c} < 220$ K according to the following equation,²⁴ where $T_{\rm c}$ is the coalescence temperature:

$$\Delta G^{\ddagger} = 4.55 \times 10^{-3} \times T_{c} \{9.97 + \log T_{c} - \log(500 \times \Delta \nu))$$

It is apparent that the rotational rates of the pyridine adducts are influenced by the substituents at the 2-positions of the pyridines, as compared between $1 \cdot (py)_2$, $1 \cdot (mepy)_2$, and $1 \cdot (etpy)_2$ (Table 2). This suggests the possibility of ligand exchange-based rate control of molecular motions. At 220 K, further splitting was observed in the spectra of $1 \cdot (mepy)_2$ and $1 \cdot (etpy)_2$ presumably due to the slowed-down rotation of unsymmetrical axial ligands (see the Supporting Information).

UV-vis spectra of the complexes in $CHCl_3$ are shown in Figure 4 and Table 2 to compare the effects of the axial ligands



Figure 4. (a) Visible absorption spectra of molecular gear complexes (0.6 mM for py, 1 mM for others in $CHCl_3$, 293 K) and the pictures of solutions containing molecular gear complexes in $CHCl_3$.

on their electronic states. The effects of the type of carboxylates bridging Rh-Rh on the electronic states have been well studied.²⁵ It is well-known that there are two peaks around 500-600 nm (band I) and 450 nm (band II) in the visible region, which can be assigned to the allowed transitions, $\pi^* \rightarrow$ σ^* and $\pi^* \to \text{Rh-O} \sigma^*$, respectively.²⁵ Indeed, band I was markedly affected by the type of the axial ligands as shown in Figure 4, while band II around 450 nm remained almost unchanged (see the Supporting Information). In comparison between the axial pyridine ligands with a substituent at the 2position, $1 \cdot (py)_2$, $1 \cdot (mepy)_2$, and $1 \cdot (etpy)_2$, bulkier axial ligands showed absorption at a longer wavelength in the order of 1. $(py)_2 (527 \text{ nm}) < 1 \cdot (mepy)_2 (554 \text{ nm}) < 1 \cdot (etpy)_2 (566 \text{ nm}).$ On the other hand, only small electronic effects were observed with $1 \cdot (dmap)_2$ (red-shift by 7 nm) and $1 \cdot (min)_2$ (blue-shift by 7 nm), which has an electron-donating dimethylamino group and an electron-withdrawing methoxycarbonyl group, respectively, at the 4-position. It should be noticed that the band I for $1 \cdot (\text{ether})_2$ appeared in the longest wavelength side (608 nm). This result indicates that the type of coordinating atoms is a more effective factor affecting the electronic states of the complexes.

In conclusion, we have constructed a dirhodium-centered circular four-gear system, in which the gears have a circularly meshed structure both in solution and in the crystal state. Dynamic NMR analysis revealed that the exchangeable axial ligands can remarkably affect the rotational behaviors of the molecular gear system mainly due to the steric effects of the substituents and the type of the coordination atoms of the axial ligands as shown by NMR spectroscopy and visible absorption study. These findings would provide a useful design guide for stimulus-responsive metallo-molecular rotors that realize ligand exchange-based rotational motions in a self-assembled system.

ASSOCIATED CONTENT

S Supporting Information

Experimental procedures and characterization data. The Supporting Information is available free of charge on the ACS Publications website at DOI: 10.1021/jacs.5b13515.

AUTHOR INFORMATION

Corresponding Author

*shionoya@chem.s.u-tokyo.ac.jp

Notes

The authors declare no competing financial interest.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

This study was supported by JSPS KAKENHI grant no. 26248016 (for M.S.).

REFERENCES

(1) Frank, J. Molecular machines in biology: Workshop of the cell; Cambridge University Press: Cambridge, 2011.

(2) (a) Balzani, V.; Credi, A.; Raymo, F. M.; Stoddart, J. F. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2000, 39, 3348. (b) Browne, W. R.; Feringa, B. L. Nat. Nanotechnol. 2006, 1, 25. (c) Kay, E. R.; Leigh, D. A.; Zerbetto, F. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2007, 46, 72.

(3) Molecular machines in the crystalline state have been also widely investigated, see: (a) Vogelsberg, C. S.; Garcia-Garibay, M. A. *Chem. Soc. Rev.* **2012**, *41*, 1892. (b) Jiang, X.; Rodriguez-Molina, B.; Nazarian, N.; Garcia-Garibay, M. A. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2014**, *136*, 8871.

(4) (a) Koumura, N.; Zijlstra, R. W. J.; van Delden, R. A.; Harada, N.; Feringa, B. L. *Nature* **1999**, *401*, 152. (b) Leigh, D. A.; Wong, J. K. Y.; Dehez, F.; Zerbetto, F. *Nature* **2003**, *424*, 174. (c) Kelly, T. R.; De Silva, H.; Silva, R. A. *Nature* **1999**, *401*, 150.

(5) (a) Kelly, T. R.; Bower, M. C.; Bhaskar, K. V.; Bebbington, D.; Garcia, A.; Lang, F.; Kim, M. H.; Jette, M. P. J. Am. Chem. Soc. **1994**, 116, 3657. (b) Takeuchi, M.; Imada, T.; Shinkai, S. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. **1998**, 37, 2096. (c) Tashiro, K.; Konishi, K.; Aida, T. J. Am. Chem. Soc. **2000**, 122, 7921.

(6) (a) Muraoka, T.; Kinbara, K.; Kobayashi, Y.; Aida, T. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2003, 125, 5612. (b) Muraoka, T.; Kinbara, K.; Aida, T. Nature 2006, 440, 512.

(7) Samanta, S. K.; Bats, J. W.; Schmittel, M. Chem. Commun. 2014, 50, 2364.

(8) Kawada, Y.; Iwamura, H. J. Org. Chem. 1980, 45, 2547.

(9) Hounshell, W. D.; Johnson, C. A.; Guenzi, A.; Cozzi, F.; Mislow, K. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A. **1980**, 77, 6961.

(10) Kao, C.-Y.; Hsu, Y.-T.; Lu, H.-F.; Chao, I.; Huang, S.-L.; Lin, Y.-C.; Sun, W.-T.; Yang, J.-S. J. Org. Chem. 2011, 76, 5782.

(11) Ogi, S.; Ikeda, T.; Wakabayashi, R.; Shinkai, S.; Takeuchi, M. *Chem. - Eur. J.* **2010**, *16*, 8285.

(12) Stevens, A. M.; Richards, C. J. Tetrahedron Lett. 1997, 38, 7805.

(13) Koga, N.; Kawada, Y.; Iwamura, H. *Tetrahedron* **1986**, *42*, 1679.

(14) Chance, J. M.; Geiger, J. H.; Okamoto, Y.; Aburatani, R.; Mislow, K. J. Am. Chem. Soc. **1990**, 112, 3540.

(15) Yamamoto, G.; Ohta, S.; Kaneko, M.; Mouri, K.; Ohkuma, M.; Mikami, R.; Uchiyama, Y.; Minoura, M. Bull. Chem. Soc. Jpn. 2005, 78, 487.

(16) Ogi, S.; Ikeda, T.; Wakabayashi, R.; Shinkai, S.; Takeuchi, M. *Eur. J. Org. Chem.* **2011**, 2011, 1831.

(17) Setaka, W.; Nirengi, T.; Kabuto, C.; Kira, M. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2008, 130, 15762.

Journal of the American Chemical Society

(18) (a) Koshino, M.; Tanaka, T.; Solin, N.; Suenaga, K.; Isobe, H.; Nakamura, E. *Science* 2007, *316*, 853. (b) Koshino, M.; Niimi, Y.; Nakamura, E.; Kataura, H.; Okazaki, T.; Suenaga, K.; Iijima, S. *Nat. Chem.* 2010, *2*, 117.

(19) Noji, H.; Yasuda, R.; Yoshida, M.; Kinosita, K., Jr Nature 1997, 386, 299.

(20) Ikeda, T.; Tsukahara, T.; Iino, R.; Takeuchi, M.; Noji, H. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2014, 53, 10082.

(21) Demonceau, A.; Noels, A. F.; Hubert, A. J.; Teyssié, P. Bull. Soc. Chim. Belg. **1984**, 93, 945.

(22) Friedle, S.; Kodanko, J. J.; Fornace, K. L.; Lippard, S. J. J. Mol. Struct. 2008, 890, 317.

(23) Vagin, S.; Ott, A.; Weiss, H.-C.; Karbach, A.; Volkmer, D.; Rieger, B. Eur. J. Inorg. Chem. 2008, 2008, 2601.

(24) Hesse, M.; Maier, H.; Zeeh, B. Spectroscopic Methods in Organic Chemistry; Thieme Publishing Group: Stuttgart, 2007.

(25) Boyar, E. B.; Robinson, S. D. Coord. Chem. Rev. 1983, 50, 109.